## Math 494

## Yiwei Fu

## Jan 27, 2022

Finish the proof on Hilbert's Nullstellenstaz.

**Corollary.** If I is an ideal of  $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$  generated by  $f_1, \ldots, f_k$ , and V is the set of all  $\alpha := (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$  s.t.  $f_i(\alpha) = 0 \forall i$ , then the maximal ideals of R/I are in bijection with V.

R/I is called the "coordinate ring".

*Proof.* Correpondence Theorem  $\implies$  maximal ideals of R/I are  $\pi(M)$  where  $\pi : R \twoheadrightarrow R/I$  and M is a maximal ideal of R containing I. (also  $M \neq M' \implies \pi(M) \neq \pi(M')$ )

An ideal *M* of *R* contains  $I \iff M$  contains  $f_i \forall i$ .

M is maximal  $\iff M = (x_1 - \alpha_1, x_2 - \alpha_2, \dots, x_n - \alpha_n)$ . So  $f_i \in M \iff f_i(\alpha) = 0$ .

So the maximal idals of *R* containing *I* are  $(x_1 - \alpha_1, \dots, x_n - \alpha_n)$  where  $f_i(\alpha) = 0 \forall i$ .

**Lemma** (Zorn's lemma). *If a partially ordered set S in which every chain has a upper bound, then S has at least one maximal element.* 

**Corollary.** *If* R = ring and  $I \neq (1)$  *is an ideal of* R*, then* I *is contained in a maximal ideal.* 

*Proof.* Let  $S = \{\text{ideals containing } I \text{ which aren't } (1)\}$  partially ordered under containment. If T is a totally ordered subset of S then let  $J = \bigcup_{I' \in T} I'$ . J is an ideal not containing (1). We can see that  $J \in T$  and is an upper bounde of T.

By Zorn's lemma we conclude that *S* contains a maximal element, which is a maximum ideal containing *I*.

**Corollary.** If a ring R has no maximal ideals then R is the zero ring.

**Corollary.** If  $f_1, \ldots, f_k \in R := \mathbb{C}[x_1, \ldots, x_k]$  have no common zeros in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , then the ideal

 $(f_1, \ldots, f_k)$  is (1) i.e.

$$1 = g_1 f_1 + \ldots + g_k f_k, g_1, \quad \ldots, g_k \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, \ldots, x_k]$$

*Proof.* If  $(f_1, \ldots, f_k) \neq (1)$  then it is contained in a maximal ideal of R which is  $(x_1 - \alpha_1, \ldots, x_n - \alpha_n)$  where  $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $f_i(\alpha) = 0 \forall i \implies f_i$ 's have a common zero, a contradiction.

**Theorem** (Bezout's theorem). If f(x, y) and g(x, y) are polynomials in  $\mathbb{C}[x, y]$  with no (nonconstant) common factor. Then they only have finitely many common zeros.

In fact

#of zeros 
$$\leq$$
 (total deg of  $f(x, y)$ )  $\cdot$  (total deg of  $g(x, y)$ ).

*Proof.* We have  $\mathbb{C}[x, y] = (\mathbb{C}[y])[x] \subseteq (\mathbb{C}(y))[x]$ .

The ideal (f,g) in  $(\mathbb{C}(y))[x]$  is principal, say it's (h) where  $h \in (\mathbb{C}(y))[x]$ . If  $(h) \neq (1)$  then

$$h = \frac{h_1(x,y)}{u(y)}, h_1 \in \mathbb{C}[x,y], u \in \mathbb{C}[y], u \neq 0.$$

But u(y) is a unit in  $\mathbb{C}(y)[x] \implies (h) = (h_1)$ .

So we may assume  $h \in \mathbb{C}[x, y], (h) \neq (1)$  and  $h \mid f, h \mid g$  in  $\mathbb{C}(y)[x]$ 

$$\implies hA = f, hB = g, \qquad A, B \in \mathbb{C}(y)[x]$$
$$\implies hA_1 = fu_1, hB_1 = gu_2, \qquad A_1, B_1 \in \mathbb{C}[x, y], u_1, u_2 \in \mathbb{C}[y]$$

If  $g_1g_2 \in \mathbb{C}^*$  then there is a contradiction. So assume  $u_1 \notin \mathbb{C}^*$ . Then  $u_1$  has a root  $\alpha$ 

$$\implies h(x,\alpha)A_1(x,\alpha) = 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{C}[x]$$
$$\implies h(x,\alpha) = 0 \text{ or } A_1(x,\alpha)$$
$$\implies y - \alpha \mid h(x,\alpha) \text{ or } y - \alpha \mid A_1(x,\alpha)$$

The latter is not possible, and we can always factor out  $y - \alpha$  from  $h(x, \alpha)$  and apply this process until we arrive at a contradiction.